POPULATION—BASED SURVEY ON INDIRECT HEALTH EFFECTS AFTER THE 1995 GREAT HANSHIN-AWAJI EARTHQUAKE

By

Keiko OGAWA¹⁾, Ichirou TSUJI¹⁾, Shigeru HISAMICHI¹⁾, Keishi SHIONO²⁾

ABSTRACT

A population-based survey was conducted to estimate the structural damage and non-structural damage in terms inconvenience of daily life (IDL). The questions regarding indirect health effects were included to compare IDL according to their occurrence. IDL was high in Nada and Higashi-Nada districts of Kobe City and Ashiya City, and low in Itami City. IDL is an indicator to measure living conditions of the affected population in not only impact phase but also early recovery stage. Further analysis is required to define the meaning of IDL to decrease overall indirect health effects.

KEY WORDS : Inconvenience of daily life

(Daily living disruption)
Indirect health effects

1. INTRODUCTION

We presented regional variation of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) mortality among the affected populations⁽¹⁾ to show the magnitude of indirect health effects (any kind of health effects, not caused by seismic force) after the 1995 Great Hanshin-Awaji Earthquake. Nada and Higashi-Nada district suffered from large

indirect health effects in terms of duration and magnitude of increased AMI mortality. Increased cardiac mortality had continued for a few months after the 1995 Great Hanshin-Awaji Earthquake^{(1),(2)}. The period was much longer than those previously observed in USA^{(3),(4)}, Austraria⁽⁵⁾, Greece^{(6),(7)}. These results have made us recognize the need to analyze living conditions of the affected people to explain regional variation of indirect health effects.

There are very few studies to measure life conditions after an earthquake and compare among the regions. To estimate overall impact on the affected population, we conducted population-based survey regarding living conditions in several regions; Nada and Higashi-Nada district of Kobe City, Ashiya, Nishinomiya, Itami City and the Hokudan area of Awaji Island.

In addition to several questions regarding indirect health effects, inconvenience of daily life activities from post-earthquake suspension of utility services was measured, and their regional variation was analyzed.

- 1)Department of Public Health, Tohoku University School of Medicine, Sendai, Japan
- 2) Nagaoka College of Technology, Nagaoka, Japan

2. METHODS

We conducted simple random sampling between two and three years after the 1995 Great Hanshin-Awaji earthquake to select households and send self-administered questionnaires in Nada and Higashi-Nada district of Kobe City, Ashiya, Nishinomiya, Itami City and the Hokudan area of Awaji Island. The household selection was based on the voters registries at that time.

The questionnaires mainly consist of two parts. The one is about structural and non-structural damage including inconvenience of daily life activities from post-earthquake suspension of utility services^{(8),(9)}. The other part is about indirect health effects.

We numerically evaluated difficulties people experienced in daily life at home due to water, power and gas suspension. We measured the extent of inconvenience in terms of a numerical score named the difficulty index, DI.

The difficulty index was defined as:

DI= Σ {(Degree of Difficulties)_i× (Duration of Difficulties)_i}

Degree of Difficulties is a numerical score between 0 and 10 and shows the extent to which a daily life activity is restricted with that before the compared earthquake; 10 for total restriction and 0 for no restriction. Duration of Difficulties is in the number of days during which an activity was restricted due to lifeline The difficulty index is disruption. summed up regarding the following five life activities;

- 1) cooking
- 2) toilet use
- 3) washbasin use
- 4) taking a bath
- 5) washing clothes.

In addition, the following questions to indicate the occurrence of indirect health effects were included in the questionnaires:

- 1) Did you visit a doctor (excluding visits due to injuries) within six months after the earthquake?
- 2) Were you sick in bed and did you stay at home within six months after the earthquake?
- 3) Were you been hospitalized (excluding hospitalization due to injuries) within six months after the earthquake?
- 4) Did you suffer from any chronic diseases before the earthquake?
- 5) Did you discontinue your treatment or medication after the earthquake?

The questionnaire included age and sex of respondents.

Statistical analysis to compare IDL among groups were performed using the SAS variance component procedure PROC ANOVA.

3. RESULT

Table 1 shows completion proportions of the questionnaires in the six regions. They ranged from 31.9 to 38.6 percents.

Table 2 shows 95% confidence interval (CI) for a population mean of inconvenience of daily life (IDL) and a population proportion of completely destroyed houses (total damage rate). IDL was high in Nada (CI:

977-1295) and Higashi-Nada (CI: 1019-1229) and Ashiya (CI: 904-1154). Proportions \mathbf{of} completely destroyed houses were high in Nada (CI: 25.2-33.1). Higashi-Nada (19.8-27.2), and Hokudan (CI: 16.2-32.4), followed by Ashiya (CI: 14.6-24.6) and Nishinomiya (CI: 13.6-17.9). In Hokudan, a proportion of completely destroyed houses was high. but IDL was low (CI: 247-485) in comparison with other regions.

Table 3 shows means and standard deviation (SD) of IDL according to the level of structural damage of the houses. IDL was high among the most damaged group and low among the least damaged group in Nada, Higashi-Nada, Nishinomiya and Hokudan. On the other hand, this finding was not observed in Ashiya and Itami. The difference in IDL among the regions was statistically significant (P<0.001) at all the levels of structural damage.

Table 4 shows 95% confidence interval for a population proportion regarding the occurrence of indirect health effects within 6 months after the earthquake. Itami City, which was less damaged, had lower proportions that people visited a doctor more than once or were sick in bed and stayed at home. There was no difference between regions regarding proportions that people had suffered chronic diseases before the earthquake. Proportions that affected people with chronic diseases could continue their medication as usual was lowest in Nada (39.6%) followed by Higashi-Nada (41.1%), Hokudan (57.7%), Ashiya (58.0%) and Nishinomiya (63.4%). The proportion was highest (86.9%) in Itami.

Table 5 shows analysis of variance of

inconvenience of daily life (IDL) according to the occurrence of indirect health effects within 6 months after the earthquake. The group who suffered indirect health effects had higher IDL than the other. The difference between them was statistically significant (P<0.01 and P<0.001).

4. DISCUSSION

We conducted population-based survey regarding the structural damage and nonstructural damage in terms αf inconvenience of daily life (IDL). IDL was high in Nada and Higashi-Nada and low in Itami. It suggests IDL was high in a group with severe structural damage. On the other hand, Hokudan relatively lower IDL even with severe structural damage. Considering the proportions regarding health episodes in the questionnaires, Nada and Higashi-Nada and Hokudan had suffered more indirect health effects. The group with more indirect health effects had higher IDL.

Our previous analysis (1) with respects to acute myocardial infarction (AMI) mortality following the 1995 Great Hanshin-Awaji Earthquake showed increased mortality in several regions such as Nada and Higashi-Nada district. The results of the questionnaires in this study agree with those findings. Prolonged duration of increased AMI mortality suggests that some factors in not only impact phase but also early recovery stage may be related with the occurrence of indirect health effects. Inconvenience of daily life due to lifeline disruption is an indicator to represent life

conditions after the earthquake. More detailed analysis will be required to show the relationship between IDL and indirect health effects. We should also estimate quantitatively the impact of disruption of their medication or treatment to chronic diseases. It may lead to increase of health effects.

population-based survey This conducted under limited conditions (2 or 3 years after the earthquake). resulted in relatively low completion Ecological study is very proportion. useful to develop the hypothesis about the \mathbf{of} some health etiology Population-based study is essential for this purpose even though high population movement after the earthquake makes it difficult.

REFERENCES

- Keiko Ogawa et al. Comparison between the affected populations of indirect health effects after the 1995 Great Hanshin-Awaji Earthquake. Proceedings of The Joint Meeting of United States-Japan Panel on Wind and Seismic Effects. May12-15,1998, Gaithersburg
- Kario K, Ohashi T. Increased coronary heart disease mortality after the Hanshin-Awaji Earthquake among the older community on Awaji Island. J Am Geriatr Soc 1997; 45: 610-613
- 3. Kloner R.A. et al. Population-based analysis of the effect of the Northridge Earthquake on cardiac death in Los Angeles County, California. Am J Coll Cardiol 1997; 30 (5): 1174-80
- Leor J. et al. Sudden cardiac death triggered by an earthquake. N. Engl. J. Med. 1996; 34: 413-9
- Dobson AJ, Alexander HM, Malcolm JA, Steele PL, Miles TA. Heart attacks and the Newcastle earthquake. Med J Aust 1991; 155: 757-761
- 6. Trichopoulos D, Katsouyanni K, Zavitsanos X, Tzounou A, Dalla-Vorgia P. Psychological stress and fatal heart attack: the Athens earthquake natural experiment. Lancet 1983; I: 441-444
- 7. Katsouyanni K, Kogevinas M, Trichopoulos D. Earthquake-related stress and cardiac mortality. Int J Epidemiol 1986; 15: 326-330

- 8. Shiono K. Inconvenience in resident's daily living activities from postearthquake suspension of utility services. Comprehensive Urban Studies 1989; 38: 149-167.
- 9. Shiono K., Shumuta Y. Inconvenience in resident's daily living activities from post-earthquake suspension of utility services, part 2. Procedure for estimation. Comprehensive Urban Studies 1991;41: 37-46.

-283-

Table 1 Completion proportions to the questionnaires in the six regions

| Region | Total number of households | Total number of mail surveys | Number of responders | Completion proportions (%) | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Nada District, Kobe | 54,800 | 1,530 | 507 | 33.1 | |
| City Higashi-Nada | 73,600 | 1,299 | 502 | 38.6 | |
| District, Kobe City Ashiya City | 33,400 | 705 | 240 | 34.0 | |
| Nishinomiya City | 160,300 | 3,262 | 1,105 | 33.9 | |
| Itami City | 68,200 | 1,380 | 456 | 33.0 | |
| Hokudan area | 2,600 | 335 | 107 | 31.9 | |

Table 2 95% confidence interval (CI) for a population mean of inconvenience of daily life and a population proportion of completely destroyed houses

| Region | 95% CI for a population mean of inconvenience of daily life | 95 % CI for a population proportion of completely destroyed houses (%) | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Nada District, Kobe City | 977-1295 | 25.2-33.1 | |
| Higashi-Nada District, Kobe City | 1019-1229 | 19.8-27.2 | |
| Ashiya City | 904-1154 | 14.6-24.6 | |
| Nishinomiya City | 741-843 | 13.6-17.9 | |
| Itami City | 131-199 | 1.2-4.1 | |
| Hokudan area | 247-485 | 16.2-32.4 | |

Table 3 Means and standard deviation (SD) of inconvenience of daily life according to the level of structured damage of the houses

| Region | Level of structural damage | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| J | Level 1 Level 2 | | Level 3 | Level 4 | | |
| Nada District,Kobe City | 2429±2818 (N=51) | 1044±717 (N=95) | 905±560 (N=102) | $576 \pm 342 \text{ (N=55)}$ | | |
| Higashi-Nada District, Kobe City | 1508±1387 (N=45) | 1274±1000 (N=103) | 931±597 (N=144) | 715±434 (N=18) | | |
| Ashiya City | $756 \pm 532 \text{ (N=12)}$ | 1176±831 (N=47) | 1031±716 (N=63) | $714 \pm 416 \text{ (N=17)}$ | | |
| Nishinomiya City | $1338 \pm 1212 \text{ (N=81)}$ | 926±718 (N=157) | 724±534 (N=366) | 517±442 (N=146) | | |
| Itami City | 138±113 (N=7) | 257±411 (N=80) | 133±211 (N=135) | $119\pm203 \text{ (N=54)}$ | | |
| Hokudan area | 706±706 (N=11) | 320±360 (N=30) | 278±314 (N=11) | 108±78 (N=4) | | |

Level 1; The house was completely destroyed.

Level 2; The house was partially destroyed.

Level 3; The house was slightly damaged (structural damage was less than that of level 2).

Level 4; The house was not damaged.

Table 4 95% confidence interval for a population proportion regarding the occurrence of indirect health effects within 6 months after the earthquake

| Indirect health effects within 6 months after | 95% confidence interval for a population proportion (%) | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| the earthquake (excluding those due to injuries) | Nada | Higashi- | Ashiya | Nishinomiy | Itami | Hokudan |
| | . • | Nada | | a | | |
| 1) Visiting a doctor more than once | 42.4-51.3 | 45.1-54.0 | 35.7-48.5 | 34.3-40.1 | 19.5-27.4 | 36.9-56.9 |
| | (N=230) | (N=239) | (N=96) | (N=393) | (N=104) | (N=45) |
| 2) Being sick in bed and stay at home | 20.0-27.7 | 23.8-31.8 | 17.5-28.5 | 21.1-26.2 | 10.3-16.8 | 14.2-31.4 |
| | (N=113) | (N=133) | (N=52) | (N=247) | (N=57) | (N=21) |
| 3) Being hospitalized | 3.6-7.8 | 2.6-6.2 | 4.7-11.9 | 3.9-6.6 | 2.1-5.8 | 2.9-14.5 |
| | (N=27) | (N=21) | (N=19) | (N=55) | (N=17) | (N=8) |
| 4) Suffering chronic disease before | 29.5-38.1 | 24.1-32.3 | 24.8-36.7 | 25.9-31.4 | 25.8-34.7 | 20.3-40.0 |
| the earthquake | (N=157) | (N=131) | (N=71) | (N=293) | (N=123) | (N=26) |
| | | | | | | |

Table 5 Analysis of variance of inconvenience of daily life according to the occurrence of indirect health effects within 6 months after the earthquake

| Indirect health effects within 6 months at the earthquake (excluding those due to injurie | | Mean ± SD | P-value |
|--|-----|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1) Visiting a doctor more than once | Yes | 1046±1182 (N=677) | |
| | No | 683±684 (N=1137) | P<0.001 |
| 2) Being sick in bed and stay at home | Yes | 1069±995 (N=384) | |
| | No | 744±752 (N=1403) | P<0.001 |
| 3) Being hospitalized | Yes | 1148±1197 (N=78) | |
| | No | $806 \pm 903 \text{ (N=1714)}$ | P<0.01 |
| | | | • |